

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/820,176	Applicant(s) PIERCE ET AL.	
	Examiner MENG YAO ZHE	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

Status of Application: pending

(1) MENG YAO ZHE.

(3) David Fox.

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 September 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-18

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed changed to the claims to put the case in condition for allowance of which the applicant agreed to.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)